2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

2728

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AND THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT COMPOSED OF THREE JUDGES

PURSUANT TO SECTION 2284, TITLE 28 UNITED STATES CODE

RALPH COLEMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

ν.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,

Defendants.

MARCIANO PLATA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:90-cv-0520 LKK DAD (PC)

THREE-JUDGE COURT

NO. C01-1351 TEH

THREE-JUDGE COURT

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF DECEMBER 31, 2013 DEADLINE

WHEREAS the Court has read and considered the parties' filings in response to this Court's January 13, 2014 Order;

WHEREAS defendants have represented that, in conformance with the terms of this order, they will develop comprehensive and sustainable prison population-reduction reforms and will consider the establishment of a commission to recommend reforms of state penal and sentencing laws;

 WHEREAS defendants have represented that they will not appeal or support an appeal of this order, any subsequent order necessary to implement this order, or any order issued by the Compliance Officer to be appointed in conformance herewith that is consistent with the duties of the Compliance Officer as specified in this order, and will not move or support a motion to terminate the relief contained in this order until at least two years after the date of this order and such time as it is firmly established that compliance with the 137.5% design capacity benchmark is durable;

WHEREAS this order is issued in reliance on defendants' representations; and WHEREAS the Court finds that the order below is narrowly tailored to the constitutional violations identified by the *Plata* and *Coleman* courts, extends no further than necessary to remedy those violations, and is the least intrusive possible remedy.

# IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Court GRANTS defendants' request for an extension of time, but only to February 28, 2016, to comply with this Court's June 30, 2011 Order to reduce California's prison population to 137.5% design capacity.
- 2. The deadline to achieve the ordered reduction in the in-state adult institution population to 137.5% design capacity is extended to **February 28, 2016.** Defendants will meet the following interim and final population reduction benchmarks:
  - (a) 143% of design bed capacity by June 30, 2014;
  - (b) 141.5% of design bed capacity by February 28, 2015; and
  - (c) 137.5% of design bed capacity by February 28, 2016.
- 3. During the extension period, and as long as this Court maintains jurisdiction, defendants shall not increase the current population level of approximately 8,900 inmates housed in out-of-state facilities. Defendants shall also explore ways to attempt to reduce the number of inmates housed in out-of-state facilities to the extent feasible.
- 4. The Court acknowledges that defendants intend to comply with this order in part through a combination of contracting for additional in-state capacity in county jails, community correctional facilities, and a private prison, and through newly enacted programs

 including the development of additional measures regarding reforms to state penal and sentencing laws designed to reduce the prison population. Defendants shall also immediately implement the following measures:

- (a) Increase credits prospectively for non-violent second-strike offenders and minimum custody inmates. Non-violent second-strikers will be eligible to earn good time credits at 33.3% and will be eligible to earn milestone credits for completing rehabilitative programs. Minimum custody inmates will be eligible to earn 2-for-1 good time credits to the extent such credits do not deplete participation in fire camps where inmates also earn 2-for-1 good time credits;
- (b) Create and implement a new parole determination process through which non-violent second-strikers will be eligible for parole consideration by the Board of Parole Hearings once they have served 50% of their sentence;
- (c) Parole certain inmates serving indeterminate sentences who have already been granted parole by the Board of Parole Hearings but have future parole dates;
- (d) In consultation with the Receiver's office, finalize and implement an expanded parole process for medically incapacitated inmates;
- (e) Finalize and implement a new parole process whereby inmates who are 60 years of age or older and have served a minimum of twenty-five years of their sentence will be referred to the Board of Parole Hearings to determine suitability for parole;
- (f) Activate new reentry hubs at a total of 13 designated prisons to be operational within one year from the date of this order;
- (g) Pursue expansion of pilot reentry programs with additional counties and local communities; and
  - (h) Implement an expanded alternative custody program for female inmates.
- 5. Defendants will report to this Court monthly on the status of measures being taken to reduce the prison population, and on the current in-state and out-of-state adult prison populations. The first report shall be submitted on the 15th of the month following the date of this order and shall continue until further order of the Court.

- 6. The Court will appoint a Compliance Officer for the purpose of bringing defendants into compliance with any missed benchmark by ordering inmate releases. If compliance with any benchmark is not achieved within a 30-day period following the expiration of any missed benchmark, the Compliance Officer shall, within seven days, direct the release of the number of inmates necessary to achieve compliance with the missed benchmark and the measures to be followed in selecting the prisoners to be released. The authority of the Compliance Officer shall extend no further than ordering defendants to release inmates necessary to ensure defendants' compliance with any missed benchmark.
- (a) In selecting inmates for release, the Compliance Officer shall consider public safety by minimizing any risk of violent re-offense. The Compliance Officer shall not be authorized to order the release of condemned inmates or inmates serving a term of life without the possibility of parole.
- (b) The Compliance Officer shall have access to all necessary CDCR data and personnel regarding the California prison population, including population projections, risk assessments, recidivism data, statistical data, and prisoner files, and shall receive administrative support from CDCR to the extent needed to carry out the Compliance Officer's duties. In addition, the Compliance Officer may engage the services of a part-time assistant and/or a part-time secretary upon a showing of good cause within the discretion of this Court at a rate of pay to be approved by this Court should the parties disagree. If the Compliance Officer finds good cause to question the accuracy of any data presented to him or her, the Compliance Officer shall have the authority to verify the accuracy of such data.
- (c) The Compliance Officer shall be compensated for all work or services necessary to ensure compliance with a benchmark, should a benchmark be missed, and all work or services necessary to verify the accuracy of any data presented to him or her by the CDCR, should the Compliance Officer find good cause to question the accuracy of such data. Defendants shall reasonably compensate the Compliance Officer on an hourly basis and for reasonable expenses, and the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3626(f) shall not apply.

- 7. The Compliance Officer shall retain all powers, access to information, and compensation granted under this order after the final 137.5% benchmark is reached and until it is firmly established that defendants' compliance with the 137.5% benchmark is durable. During this period after compliance with the final benchmark and before such compliance is durable, if two of defendants' monthly reports, consecutive, report a prison population above 137.5% design capacity, the Compliance Officer shall, within seven days, direct the release of the number of inmates necessary to bring the prison population to 137.5% design capacity.
- 8. The parties shall meet and confer to attempt to make a joint recommendation to the Court regarding the selection of the Compliance Officer and an appropriate hourly rate of compensation, which may be subject to increase annually. If the parties are not able to agree, they may each recommend up to two candidates for the Court's consideration and a proposed hourly rate. The parties shall file their recommendations, including a description of any recommended candidate's qualifications and an explanation of any proposed hourly rate, within 30 days of the date of this order. The selection of the Compliance Officer and compensation rate rests solely within the Court's discretion, and the Court will not be limited to the parties' recommendations, whether separate or joint.
- 9. To the extent that any state statutory, constitutional, or regulatory provisions, except the California Public Resources Code, impede the implementation of this order or defendants' ability to achieve the population reduction benchmarks, all such laws and regulations are waived. Although the Court does not issue a general waiver of the Public Resources Code, defendants may request waivers, as the need arises, of these statutory provisions that are tailored to specific projects.
- 10. This Court shall maintain jurisdiction over this matter for as long as is necessary to ensure that defendants' compliance with the 137.5% final benchmark is durable, and such durability is firmly established.
- 11. Defendants shall, within 60 days of the date of this order, file with the Compliance Officer under seal, the categories of prisoners who are least likely to reoffend or who might otherwise be candidates for early release (the "Low Risk List") that this Court

# Case3:01-cv-01351-TEH Document2766 Filed02/10/14 Page6 of 6

1	previously ordered them to create. The Low Risk List shall not be viewed by the
2	Compliance Officer unless and until he or she is ordered to do so by this Court. Similarly,
3	this Court will not inspect the list unless circumstances so warrant. Defendants shall file an
4	amended list every 60 days, should changes to the list become appropriate.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	dr 1 10 1 1 1-
8	Dated: 02/10/14 STEPMEN RE NHARDT
9	UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
10	
11	1
12	Dated: 02/10/14 CAWRENCE K. KARLTON
13	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	
15	We of Affect Comme
16	Dated: 02/10/14 THELTON E. HENDERSON
17	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
21	

# Exhibit 1

#### OFFICE OF LEGAL AFFAIRS

Benjamin T. Rice General Counsel P.O. Box 942883 Sacramento, CA 94283-0001



December 1, 2014

Paul Mello Hanson Bridgett 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 620 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Dear Mr. Mello:

Attached, please find California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's "Report to the 3JP on the Court-Ordered Parole Process for Non-Violent, Non-Sex Registrant, Second-Strike Offenders Who Have Served 50% of Their Sentence."

Sincerely,

BENJAMIN T. RICE

General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Attachments



# PROCESS FOR NON-VIOLENT, NON-SEX REGISTRANT, SECOND-STRIKE OFFENDERS WHO HAVE SERVED 50% OF THEIR SENTENCE

As required by the Court's November 14, 2014 Order, this report describes the status of the court-ordered parole process for non-violent, non-sex-registrant, second-strike offenders who have served 50 percent of their sentence (Second-Strike Parole).

Second-Strike Parole will involve two levels of review: (1) inmates will first be reviewed at their institutions by a classification committee, and, if found eligible for parole consideration, they will be referred to the Board of Parole Hearings (Board);<sup>1</sup> (2) the Board will review all relevant information, including the inmate's criminal history, institutional behavior, and rehabilitation efforts, and decide whether to grant or deny parole based on whether the inmate poses an unreasonable risk to public safety.

Classification committees at each institution will begin referring eligible inmates to the Board for parole consideration on January 1, 2015. Before the Board reviews an inmate for parole eligibility, notice will be mailed to any registered victims and to the district attorney who prosecuted the inmate, and both will have 30 days to submit a written statement to the Board. For inmates who have already served 50 percent of their sentence, the Board will render its decision within 50 days from the date their case is referred to the Board.

### Preliminary Review at the Inmates' Institutions

A. Identification and Calculation of Second-Strike Parole Eligibility Date

Qualified second-strike inmates will be eligible for parole after they have completed 50 percent of their total sentence and met uniform screening criteria that are described below and in the attached memorandum, entitled *Process for Reviewing Second Strike Inmates for Referral to the Board of Parole Hearings for Consideration of Release to Parole.* (See Exhibit A.) To qualify for consideration, inmates cannot have a current conviction for a violent offense as defined in Penal Code section 667.5(c), or any sex-offense conviction for which they are required to register under Penal Code section 290.

For inmates admitted to CDCR after January 1, 2015, CDCR will make an eligibility determination when the inmate first arrives to prison. For current CDCR inmates, CDCR will calculate a Second-Strike Parole eligibility date, which is the date at which an inmate will have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The classification committee is an existing multi-member committee at each CDCR institution chaired by a facility captain, which establishes the inmate's custody level and classification score, their housing and work assignments, and any placements for vocational or educational programs.

served a total of 50 percent of their actual sentence, exclusive of any pre-sentence or post-sentence credits the inmate may have earned. CDCR staff will educate all potentially eligible inmates about the Second-Strike Parole process—including their parole eligibility date, the process of referral to the Board for parole consideration, and criteria that will exclude inmates from parole consideration—at their annual classification committee review. Currently, inmates appear before their classification committee each year (the "annual review") to discuss adjustments to their classification score or housing placement, any disciplinary action in the past year, changes to privileges and credits, and any other issues concerning the inmate. The annual review—which already seeks to promote positive behavior and encourage rehabilitative programming—is an ideal setting to describe the new Second-Strike Parole process to inmates and to further incentivize inmates' rehabilitative efforts.

#### B. Referral Process

Beginning on January 1, 2015, the classification committee will consider inmates' Second-Strike Parole eligibility date as part of the regularly scheduled annual reviews. Qualified second-strike inmates who are within 12 months of their Second-Strike Parole eligibility date (50 percent of time served), or who have already reached the calculated 50 percent time served date, will be referred to the Board, unless the inmate is excluded for one of the following reasons:<sup>3</sup>

- The inmate is required to register pursuant to Penal Code section 290 based on a current or prior sex-offense conviction.
- The inmate's current commitment offense is a violent offense pursuant to Penal Code section 667.5(c).
- The inmate has recently served or is serving a Security Housing Unit (SHU) term for serious disciplinary behavior or for participation in the activities of a prison gang or Security Threat Group. If an inmate has served a SHU term within five years of the date of the inmate's annual review, the inmate is ineligible for referral to the Board.
- The inmate was found guilty of any Division A-1 or A-2 serious Rules Violation Report as defined in Section 3323 of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations, within the last five years. These are the most serious rule violations in prison and amount to felony crimes. Qualifying offenses include murder, battery causing serious injury, rape, escape with force or violence, and arson involving damage to a structure or causing serious bodily injury. The inmate will remain ineligible for referral to the Board for five years from the date of the last rules violation.
- The inmate has been placed on Work Group C status as defined in Section 3044(b)(5)
  of Title 15 in the past year. Inmates placed on Work Group C are those who repeatedly
  refuse to accept or perform a work assignment, or have a history of serious disciplinary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, if an inmate has a four-year sentence, his or her Second-Strike Parole eligibility date will occur in year two, regardless of the type of credits the inmate earns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> in addition, inmates whose earliest possible release date is within six months of the inmate's Second-Strike Parole eligibility date will not be considered for this measure. By the time such inmates progress through the Second-Strike Parole process, the potential sentence reduction if granted parole would be minimal and does not justify the resources required for their inclusion in the new process.

behavior. The inmate will remain ineligible for referral to the Board for one year from the date he or she is removed from Work Group C status.

- The inmate has been found guilty of two or more serious rules violations as defined in Section 3315 of Title 15 in the past year. The inmate remains ineligible for referral to the Board for one year following the date of a guilty finding on the last rules violation.
- The inmate has been found guilty of a drug-related offense, as defined in Section 3016 of Title 15, or refused to provide a urine sample, as required by Section 3290(d) of Title 15, in the past year. The inmate remains ineligible for referral to the Board for one year from the date of the last drug-related rules violation or refusal.
- The inmate has been found guilty of any rules violation committed at the behest of or in connection with a designated prison gang or Security Threat Group in the past year.
   The inmate remains ineligible for referral to the Board for one year from the date of a guilty finding on the rules violation.<sup>4</sup>

The screening and referral criteria are designed to prevent the release of inmates who pose an unreasonable risk to public safety, and to foster incentives for inmates to remain discipline-free, to abstain from substance use, and to encourage completion of valuable rehabilitative programming and work assignments.

If an inmate commits a rules violation after he or she has been referred to the Board, the referral shall be suspended and returned to the classification committee for further review. The classification committee shall reconvene as soon as practicable and determine whether the referral to the Board should be rescinded or allowed to proceed based on the seriousness of the rules violation.

# Review by the Board of Parole Hearings

Once an inmate has been deemed eligible for referral to the Board for parole consideration, the Board will send a letter within five calendar days of the referral notifying (1) the prosecutor(s) from the inmate's county/counties of commitment, and (2) any victims registered with the Office of Victim and Survivor Rights and Services. The Board will afford these parties 30 days to provide written comment and input concerning the inmate's potential parole.

After the notice and comment period has closed, a Board hearing officer will review all relevant information, including the inmate's criminal history, institutional behavior, rehabilitation efforts, and written statements from interested parties, and will approve or deny the inmate's parole. Ultimately, the Board's decision will be based on whether the inmate's parole would pose an unreasonable risk to public safety. The Board will issue a written statement of the decision to grant or deny parole within 50 days from the date the case was referred to the Board or, if the inmate has not yet served 50 percent of his or her sentence, the Board will render its decision once the inmate is within 60 days of his or her 50 percent time served date.

An inmate who is approved for parole by the Board shall be discharged to State parole or Post Release Community Supervision. During the period following the Board's decision, CDCR will notify local law-enforcement agencies and probation offices, and the Division of Adult Parole

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> All inmates have the right to appeal a classification committee's decision not to refer the offender to the Board for parole consideration. (See Cal. Code Regs. tit. 15, § 3084.)

Operations will complete the pre-parole review process. CDCR staff will also work with the inmate to provide identification cards, register the inmate for available medical and mental-health-care services, and identify post-release housing and transition services.

If parole is denied, the inmate will be reviewed again for referral at their next annual review.

# The Number of Inmates Affected:

CDCR estimates that in 2015, the number of inmates who will be referred to the Board for parole consideration under the Second-Strike Parole process will be approximately 5,000-6,000. Not all of those inmates will be granted parole, and CDCR is unable to predict the Board's grant or denial rate under this new measure.

Exhibit A

State of California

Department of Corrections and Renabilitation

# Memorandum

Date

Associate Directors, Division of Adult Institutions
Wardens
Classification & Parole Representatives
Case Records Managers
Board of Parole Hearings

Subject:

# PROCESS FOR REVIEWING SECOND STRIKE INMATES FOR REFERRAL TO THE BOARD OF PAROLE HEARINGS FOR CONSIDERATION OF RELEASE TO PAROLE

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide direction regarding the consideration for release of second strike inmates to parole supervision after serving 50 percent of their actual sentence. This is in accordance with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's (CDCR) obligation to comply with federal court orders. Please ensure all Correctional Counselors, Case Records staff, and staff participating in classification committee actions is provided training on this information.

This process is specifically for inmates identified as "second strikers"—inmates whose terms doubled pursuant to Penal Code Section 667 (b)-(i) or Penal Code Section 1170.12. Effective January 1, 2015, all second strike inmates who have served 50 percent of their actual sentence, or who are within 12 months of their 50 percent time served date (as calculated by the Correctional Case Records Analyst (CCRA)), shall be reviewed at their annual review for potential referral to the Board of Parole Hearings (BPH) for parole consideration

#### Case Records

In addition to the Garliest Possible Release Date (EPRD), all Non-Violent Second Strike (NVSS) inmates that meet the criteria shall have a parole consideration review date calculated. Case Records Unit will provide a list of inmates for the existing population that will require review. Additionally, new inmate arrivals shall be reviewed for determination of eligibility at the intake audit. Exclusionary criteria (detailed below) includes inmates who are required to register pursuant to Penal Code Section 290 and/or have a commitment for a violent offense, whether controlling or non-controlling, pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(c).

The NVSS 50 percent served date is based on actual continuous time served in custody. Conduct credit, whether in county jall or State prison, shall not be applied. The CCRA will enter a case note into the Strategic Offender Management System (SOMS) reflecting the calculated 50 percent date, when the inmate meets the eligibility criteria for NVSS. Case Records will scan a NVSS notice into the alert section of Electronic Records Management System (ERMS) along with the CDCR Form 1897-U calculation worksheet. Case Records

Unit will be providing additional detailed implementation instructions to all case records offices

#### Classification Committees

At the annual review, a second strike inmate who is within 12 months of his or her 50 percent time served date, or who has reached the calculated 50 percent time served date, shall be referred to BPH for parale consideration by the classification committee, unless the inmate is excluded based on the following criteria.

# **Exclusionary Criteria**

- 1. The inmate is required to register pursuant to Penal Code Section 290 based on a current or prior sex offense conviction.
- 2. The inmate's current effense, whether controlling or non-controlling, is a violent offense pursuant to Penal Code Section 667.5(c).
- 3. The inmate is currently serving a Security Housing Unit (SHU) term, or the Institution Classification Committee (ICC) has assessed a SHU term for any Security Threat Group (STG) or disciplinary reason within five years of the date of the inmate's annual review. An inmate remains ineligible until five years after release from SHU or five years from the date of suspension or expiration date of the Minimum Earliest Release Date.
- 4. The inmate was found guilty of any serious Rules Violation Report (RVR) for a Division A-1 or Division A-2 offense as specified in California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 15, Section 3323 (b) and (c) in the past five years. The inmate remains ineligible for five years from the date of the last RVR.
- 5. The inmate has been placed in Work Group C as specified in CCR, Title 15, Section 3043.4 during the prior year. The inmate remains ineligible for one year from the date removed from Work Group C.
- 6. The inmate has been found guilty of two or more serious RVRs in the past year. The inmate is ineligible for one year from the date of the last RVR.
- 7. The inmate has been found guilty of a drug-related offense as specified in CCR, Title 15, Section 3016 or refused to provide a urine sample as specified in CCR, Title 15, Section 3290 (d) in the past year. The inmate is ineligible for one year from the date of the last drug related RVR or refusal.

- 8. The inmate has been found guilty of any RVR in which a STG nexus was found in the past year. The inmate is ineligible for one year from the date of the RVR.
- 9. The inmate's EPRD is within 180 days of the inmates' 50 percent time served date.

If a second strike inmate is not excluded based on the criteria listed above, the classification committee shall refer the inmate to BPH. The reasons for the referral shall be clearly articulated on a Classification Committee Chrono in SOMS and shall clearly indicate the inmate's 50 percent time served date. Committee will create a case note in SOMS indicating the case is referred to BPH. Additionally, following Classification Committee the assigned Correctional Counselor shall start the Mentally Disordered Offender referral process, if applicable, and complete the Release Program Study, CDCR Form 611 and Notice of Conditions of Parole, CDCR Form 1515 or Notice of Conditions of Post Release Community Supervision, CDCR Form 1515-CS, and any applicable registration notifications. The counselor shall clearly indicate on the CDCR Form 611, at the top, right-hand corner of the form, "NVSS" with the calculated 50 percent date.

If a second strike inmate is excluded based on the criteria listed above, then the committee shall not refer the case to BPH. The committee shall clearly articulate in SOMS Classification Committee Chrono the reason the inmate was not referred and create a case note indicating the case was not referred to BPH. The inmate may appeal the committee's decision pursuant to CCR, Title 15, Section 3084. Any non-violent second strike inmate who is denied a referral shall be reviewed again at the inmate's next scheduled annual review, unless number 1 or 2 of the exclusionary criteria apply. Additionally, inmates in Administrative Segregation Unit or SHU at the time of their annual review shall also be considered by the ICC for referral to BPH for parole consideration, if eligible.

# Notification to the Parole Planning and Placement (PPP) Staff

Case Records staff shall immediately provide PPP staff with a copy of the CDCR Form 611 for all inmates who are referred to BPH for parole consideration as a NVSS. PPP institutional staff shall complete the appropriate Re-entry and Case Planning Assessments prior to the inmate's release.

# **BPH Review**

Once an inmate is referred to BPH for parole consideration, the Board will render its decision within 50 days from the cate the case is referred to the Board, unless the inmate has not yet served 50 percent of his or her sentence, in which case, the Board will render a decision once the inmate is within 60 days of serving 50 percent of his or her sentence. In addition, BPH staff will notify (1) the prosecutor(s) from the inmate's county/counties of commitment, and (2) any victims registered with the Office of Victim and Survivor Rights

and Services within five days of receiving the referral. The BPH will provide prosecutors and registered victims 30 days to submit a written statement concerning the inmate's potential eligibility for parole. Once the 30-day period has passed, a BPH hearing officer will review all relevant and reliable information, including any written statements received from prosecutors and victims, and approve or deny the inmate's release. Once a decision is rendered, it will be entered into the Lifer Scheduling and Tracking System (LSTS) and displayed on the Case Records workload screen in LSTS for the appropriate institution.

Upon notice of the BPH decision, the case records staff will print the decision from LSTS and scan the document into ERMS. Case Records shall provide a copy of the BPH NVSS decision form to the inmate via the assigned Correctional Counselor I. The CCRA will enter the required case note into SOMS reflecting the decision by BPH. Case Records must ensure all required victim/county notifications are completed for inmates approved for release.

An inmate who is approved for release by BPH shall be released to State parole or Post Release Community Supervision as required by statute, no later than 50 days after the BPH decision unless the release decision is vacated by BPH before the inmate is released. Inmates shall not be released prior to his or her 50 percent date as calculated by Case Records.

# Changes in Case Factors after Committee/BPH

A second strike inmate initially approved by a classification committee and referred to BPH for parole consideration, shall be returned to classification committee if his or her case factors change such that his or her referral for release is no longer appropriate. The classification committee shall reconvene as soon as practical and determine if the referral should be rescinded.

When pending RVRs, CDCR Form 804s, are received in the Case Records office, the Case Records Technician (CRT) will follow the current procedures, (i.e., date stamp, verify release date, log). Once the CRT scans the CDCR Form 804 into the alert section of ERMS, the CRT shall also review the alert section for the NVSS alert. If the NVSS alert exists, the CRT shall print and attach the alert to the CDCR Form 804 and give it to the Classification & Parole Representative (C&PR) or designee for review and/or processing.

The C&FR will review the pending CDCR Form 804 and the inmate's file to determine if the inmate has been referred to BPH. If the inmate has been referred to BPH, the C&PR will determine if the inmate will meet the exclusionary criteria if found guilty of the pending RVR. If the exclusionary criteria are met, the C&PR will notify the inmate's assigned counselor and the assigned counselor's supervisor of the pending RVR and have the inmate scheduled for committee to determine if BPH referral remains appropriate. If the

referral is no longer appropriate, the committee will enter a case note in SOMS indicating the prior referral to BPH is rescinded. If the referral is still appropriate, there is no need to enter a new case note into SOMS.

In addition, the C&PR will notify BPH via email of the pending disciplinary action, and a copy of the CDCR Form 804 and pending RVR are available in SOMS. The email will briefly explain the case is pending committee review of the pending RVR and the appropriateness of the referral to BPH. The C&PR will also ensure BPH is notified of ALL CDCR Form 804s and pending RVRs, regardless of whether it will or will not result in the inmate meeting the exclusionary criteria. The C&PR must ensure BPH is notified the same day for any pending RVRs, especially when the inmate who received the RVR has already been reviewed by BPH and approved for release. The email address at which BPH is to be notified is BPHNVSS@CDCR.CA.GOV.

If you have any questions concerning the Classification processes described within this memorandum, please contact Kevin Ormand, Captain, Classification Services Unit, at (916) 327-4816, or via email at <a href="Michael.Ormand@cdcr.ca.gov">Michael.Ormand@cdcr.ca.gov</a>. For Case Records questions, please contact Jill Johnston, Case Records Administrator, Case Records Unit, at (916) 323-7401, or via email at <a href="mailto:jill.Johnston@cdcr.ca.gov">jill.Johnston@cdcr.ca.gov</a>. For questions about the BPH process please contact Dan Moeller, Associate Chief Deputy Commissioner at (916) 445-4490, or via email at <a href="mailto:paniel.Moeller@cdcr.ca.gov">paniel.Moeller@cdcr.ca.gov</a>.

Director

Director

Division of Adult Institutions

JENNIFER SHAFFER
Executive Officer

Board of Parole Hearings

cc: Kelly Harrington
Kathleen Allison
Vincent Cullen
Becky Alkire
Deloris Pascal
Michael Ormand
Jill Johnston
Rhonda Skipper-Do

Rhonda Skipper-Detta Howard Moselev

James Robertson